	Type of error	Examples		Journal response
Level I	 Edits that are intended to highlight key points in the dataset but are not explained. These edits are not intended to 	Rotation or duplication of the microscopy image	•	Authors are asked to provide an explanation and revise the figure. The revised figure is considered for peer review. If this manipulation is discovered postpublication, a corrigendum is published.
	mislead and have no impact on scientific conclusions.	Splicing and merging of comparable data		
Level II	Extreme edits that lead to suspicion of the intent to mislead.	Extreme contrast adjustment that deletes background data		A formal investigation is initiated to rule out deliberate manipulations. All co-authors and the institute are notified. Revisions may be allowed depending on findings
		Reuse of control panels – this practice should be highly discouraged since it defeats the purpose of controls		about the source data and severity of the manipulations.
Level III	Image manipulations that are clearly indicative of fabrication.	Unequal application of processing to the same image	•	 Authors are asked to provide an explanation. In the event of an unsatisfactory explanation, the manuscript is rejected/withdrawn. An institutional investigation may be initiated. Authors are monitored for subsequent publication of the same data in other journals.
		Insertion/deletion of band to create new data (which never existed)		